

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,419	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Ernest G. Therkorn	Art Unit 1797	
All Participants:		Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Ernest G. Therkorn</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>James J. Kelly</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>21 January 2009</u>		Time: _____	
Type of Interview:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>None</i>			
Claims discussed: <i>None</i>			
Prior art documents discussed: <i>None</i>			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed submitting a terminal disclaimer and cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. James J. Kelly then indicated that he would submit a terminal disclaimer and authorized cancellation of the non-elected inventions.